arXiv:1701.02051v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 9 Jan 2017

Quantum Ring in Gapped Graphene Layer with Wedge Disclination in the Presence of an Uniform Magnetic Field

José Amaro Neto, J. R. de S. Oliveira and Claudio Furtado*

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,

Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil.

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the relativistic quantum dynamics of massive excitations in a graphene layer with a wedge disclination in the presence of an uniform magnetic field in z-direction. We use a Dirac oscillator type coupling to introduce the confining potential for massive fermions in this system. We obtain the spectrum and eigenfunctions of energy for this quantum ring pierced by Aharonov-Bohm flux. We also find the persistent current and magnetization for this two-dimensional quantum ring in disclinated graphene layer. The influence of a wedge disclination on physical quantities is analyzed.

PACS numbers: 73.22.-f,71.55.-i,03.65.Ge

Keywords: Topological defects, Dirac oscillator, graphene, quantum ring, Magnetization

* furtado@fisica.ufpb.br

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, physical properties of quantum dots in graphene were experimentally studied in Refs [1, 2], where the eigenvalues of energy were found for the case of the presence of an external magnetic field. Other theoretical studies have employed to study the properties of a quasiparticle confined in nanostructures, *i. e.* quantum dots [3, 4] and quantum rings [5–7]. It was shown that an electronic structure, transport properties and some other related physical aspects are significantly altered for graphene with the presence of disclinations. Disclinated graphene is formed through the removal or addition of an angular sector of the two-dimensional hexagonal lattice. The angle deficit generates a positive disclination, and leaves the apex of the cone with a number of ring atoms proportional to the removed section. Particularly, the low-energy behavior of quasiparticles in graphene has attracted an essential interest. At low energies, the quantum behavior of excitations in graphene is described by an equation analogue to a massless Dirac equation. In the absence of a sublattice symmetry, a gap appears and the corresponding dynamics can be incorporated into a massive term in the Dirac equation. It is a known fact that a band gap is induced in these samples, and massive Dirac fermions play a central role in the low-energy limit [8]. In a general way, this case is called gapped graphene.

It is well known from theoretical and experimental studies of nanostructures of graphene that the magnetic length is $\ell = \sqrt{\hbar/eB} \approx 50nm$. This observation allows to show the importance of investigating the influence of a disclination in a quantum ring in a graphene layer, noting that the average size of the disclination in this carbon material is of the order of the interatomic distance between two carbon atoms in this nanostructure. In Ref. [9] the authors study the influence of external magnetic field for a quantum dot in graphene with presence of a topological defect. Recently, the influence of a disclination on Landau levels was investigated in Ref. [10].

Recently the study of soft a confinement in graphene was performed in [11], where it was observed that the interaction with the substrate of the quantum dots introduces a gap in graphene. In this contribution we study the two-dimensional quantum ring in a massive graphene layer, adopting a model of confining quasiparticle in the ring configuration with the potential proposed in Ref. [12], this confining potential is a relativistic version of the Tan-Inkson confining potential in two-dimensional semiconductor [13]. In this model [12] also

two control parameters were used to obtain a harmonic confinement in a two-dimensional ring, and the quantum point limits are obtained when we make one of the parameters to be zero, $a_1 = 0$. In this relativistic model the confining potential is introduced via the coupling with the momentum of quasiparticle similar to Dirac oscillator [14], given by

$$\vec{\mathbf{p}} \to \vec{\mathbf{p}} + i\left[\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r} + \sqrt{2Ma_2}r\right]\gamma^0\widehat{e}_r, \tag{1}$$

where a_1 and a_2 are the characteristic parameters of the model. Now if we consider the limit $a_1 \to 0$ the harmonic confining potential of the quantum dot is recovered. In the case $a_2 \to 0$, the relativistic antidot limit is observed. We use this new coupling in a Dirac equation to describe a quantum ring structure in a massive graphene in the low-energy limit. The Dirac oscillator coupling was used in several applications for graphene in Refs. [15–20]. Recently, two of us, in Ref. [21] have employed the model proposed in Ref. [12] for the confining potential and investigated the quantum ring in a graphene layer without/with the presence of a disclination.

We study, in low energy limit, the system described by a massive Dirac equation, where a continuous description near Fermi K-points is employed. We use the Dirac oscillator type coupling to confine harmonically the quasiparticles in a quantum ring pierced by Aharonov-Bohm flux in a disclinated massive graphene layer submitted to an uniform magnetic field. We obtain the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of energy and the persistent current. In the case of dynamics in the presence of defects, we demonstrate the dependence of these physical quantities on the parameter characterizing the disclination, thus demonstrating the influence of the defect in the dynamics in a quantum ring.

This paper is organized as follows: in section II, we study the quantum dynamics of a quasiparticle in a quantum ring in massive graphene layer in the presence of an uniform magnetic field. In Section III, we obtain the persistent current in this system and investigate the influence of a topological defect in this physical quantities. In section IV, we obtain the magnetization at zero temperature and analyze its behavior in this system, and finally, in section V we present our conclusions.

II. QUANTUM RING IN A MASSIVE GRAPHENE LAYER WITH DISCLINATIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF A MAGNETIC FIELD

We can obtain a disclination in a graphene layer by a procedure known as Volterra process [22]. This transformation can be represented by a cut and glue process where we are cutting and removing/adding a sector in a glaphene layer, and the resulting disclination is obtained gluing the the new edges of the lips. Due the symmetry of graphene honeycomb lattice the removed or added angular sector must be a multiple of $\pi/3$. We can introduce a topological defect in a graphene layer by a fictitious gauge following the approach introduced in Refs.[23, 25–29], where a gauge field is introduced in Dirac equation in order to reproduce the already known effect of the disclination on the behavior of the spinor [23, 27]. In this way we can describe the quantum dynamics of a quasiparticle in a gapped graphene layer with a disclination by Dirac equation in curved space in the presence of a non-Abelian gauge field a_μ related with K-spin flux. This non-Abelian gauge field is the contribution in the Dirac equation responsible for mixing of points $K_\pm$ [23, 28]. In this way the quantum dynamics of quasiparticles is described by a Dirac equation in a curved background given by following metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - \alpha^2 r^2 d\varphi^2 \tag{2}$$

where α is the strength of the disclination which can be written in terms of the angular sector λ which we removed or inserted in the graphene layer to form the defect, as $\alpha = 1 \pm \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$, where in graphene lattice $\lambda \propto \pi/3$ due to the hexagonal symmetry, so, we have $\lambda = \pm\frac{N\pi}{3}$, where $N \in [0, 6]$ is the number of sectors removed ('-') or added ('+'), that is, positive and negative disclination. Now we writing the Dirac equation for gapped graphene with a disclination in the presence of an external magnetic field and a confinement potential, looking like

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - i\gamma^\mu \Gamma_\mu + \gamma^\mu \mathbf{A}_\mu^C - \gamma^\mu e\mathbf{A}_\mu - \gamma^\mu \frac{a_\mu}{r} \right) \Psi = M\Psi. \tag{3}$$

with γ^μ being the Dirac matrices defined in a curved space. The Γ_μ term is a spinor connection which is present due to the curved nature of the geometry of the disclinated gapped graphene lattice in an elastic continuous limit. The terms contained in Dirac equation have the following origin: the term Γ_μ is the spinorial connection arisen due to the change in the geometry of graphene introduced by disclination, it is responsible for a non-trivial holonomy due to the parallel transport of spinor in the geometry (2), and for the variation

of the local reference frame in this geometry, and produces a geometric phase that acts in the sublattices A/B of graphene [23, 27]. The second term $\mathbf{A}_{\mu}^{C}$ is the confinement potential given by (1). We note the presence of the term $a_{\mu} = \pm\frac{3}{2}(\alpha - 1)$ which represents a non-Abelian gauge field related to the K spin flux, and contributing for the mixing of the Fermi points $K_{\pm}$. The coupling with the potential vector $\mathbf{A}_{\mu}$ is responsible for the inclusion of the Aharonov-Bohm flux piercing through the centre of the quantum ring and an uniform magnetic field in the z-direction, given by

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \left[\frac{\Phi}{2\pi r} + \frac{Br}{2\alpha}\right]\widehat{e}_{\varphi}.. \tag{4}$$

The matrices γ^{μ} in a curved space can be expressed as functions of triad fields $e_{a}^{\mu}(x)$. In this curved background frame, the Dirac matrices must be defined by $\gamma^{\mu} = e_{a}^{\mu}(x)\gamma^{a}$ and satisfy the anticommutation relation $\{\gamma^{a}, \gamma^{b}\} = 2\eta^{ab}$,where η^{ab} the usual Minkowski metric with a signature $\eta^{ab} = diag(+,-,-)$. Moreover, the vielbein fields satisfy the relation $g^{\mu\nu} = e_{a}^{\mu}e_{a}^{\nu}\eta^{ab}$. So that we can write the triad matrix e_{μ}^{a} and its inverse e_{a}^{μ} as

$$e_{\mu}^{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cos\varphi & -\alpha r sen\varphi \\ 0 & sen\varphi & \alpha r cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{a}^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cos\varphi & sen\varphi \\ 0 & -\frac{sen\varphi}{\alpha r} & \frac{cos\varphi}{\alpha r} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

From matrices (5), we can obtain the 1-form of connection ω_{b}^{a} through the Maurer-Cartan structure equation $de^{a} + \omega_{b}^{a} \wedge e^{b} = 0$ (without torsion). Due to the symmetry of the defect, the connections have only two non- zero components, $\omega_{1}^{2} = -\omega_{2}^{1} = -(\alpha - 1)d\varphi$. In this way, the spinorial connection is described in terms of the spin connection, by the equation $\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{i}{4}\omega_{\mu\, a\, b}\Sigma^{ab}$ such that $\Sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma^{a}\gamma^{b} - \gamma^{b}\gamma^{a})$. Hence, the only one non-zero spinorial connection component is:

$$\Gamma_{\varphi} = -\frac{i}{2}(\alpha - 1)\sigma^{3}. \tag{6}$$

Now, using the triads (5), we obtain the following equation

$$\left[i\gamma^{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\gamma^{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left[\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_{1}}}{r} - \sqrt{2Ma_{2}}r\right]\gamma^{0} + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{2\alpha r}\right)\right]\Psi$$

$$+\left[i\gamma^{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} + i\frac{\phi_{AB}}{r} + i\frac{eBr}{2\alpha} + i\frac{a_{\varphi}}{r}\right)\right]\Psi = M\Psi, \tag{7}$$

with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$ and $\Phi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{e}$ the quantum of the magnetic flux. We use a similarity transformation to eliminate the contribution arisen due to the spinorial connection in the Dirac equation (7) [30]. We choose the following similarity transformation $S(\varphi) = e^{-i\frac{\varphi}{2}\sigma^3}$ to change the representation of local Dirac matrices, $(\gamma^r, \gamma^\varphi) = \bar{\gamma}^j$. Thus, we write the *ansatz* for Ψ' given by

$$\Psi' = e^{-iEt - i\frac{\varphi}{2}\sigma^3} \begin{pmatrix} \psi(r,\varphi) \\ \chi(r,\varphi) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

where, as it was mentioned above, $\psi = \psi(r,\varphi)$ and $\chi = \chi(r,\varphi)$ represent the sublattices A and B respectively. From the similarity transformations and ansatz (8), we obtain the set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}(E-M)\psi = &-i\sigma^1\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r} + \sqrt{2Ma_2}r\right]\chi \\ &-i\sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{\alpha r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{i\phi_{AB}}{r} + \frac{ieBr}{2\alpha} + \frac{ia_\varphi}{r}\right]\chi\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(E+M)\chi = &-i\sigma^1\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r} - \sqrt{2Ma_2}r\right]\psi \\ &-i\sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{\alpha r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{i\phi_{AB}}{r} + \frac{ieBr}{2\alpha} + \frac{ia_\varphi}{r}\right]\psi.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, we eliminate the χ in (9) substituting (10) into it, and obtain the following second-order differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}(E^2-M^2)\psi = &-\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r} + \frac{\psi}{4r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r^2}\psi + \sqrt{2Ma_2}\psi + \frac{2Ma_1}{r^2}\psi + 2Ma_2r^2\psi + \\ &+ 4M\sqrt{a_1a_2}\psi - \frac{1}{\alpha^2r^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\varphi^2} + i\frac{\sigma^3}{\alpha r^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - 2i\sigma^3\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{\alpha r^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - \frac{2i\sigma^3\sqrt{2Ma_2}}{\alpha}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - \\ &- 2i\frac{\phi_{AB}}{\alpha r^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - \frac{iBe}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - \frac{2ia_\varphi}{\alpha r^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} \\ &+ 2eB\sigma^3\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{2\alpha}\psi + 2\sigma^3a_\varphi\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r^2}\psi + 2\sigma^3\phi_{AB}\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_1}}{r^2}\psi + 2\sigma^3\phi_{AB}\sqrt{2Ma_2}\psi \\ &+ 2eB\sigma^3\frac{\sqrt{2Ma_2}r^2}{2\alpha}\psi + 2\sigma^3\sqrt{2Ma_2}a_\varphi\psi + \sigma^3\frac{eB}{2\alpha}\psi - \sigma^3\frac{a_\varphi}{r^2}\psi - \sigma^3\frac{\phi_{AB}}{r^2}\psi \\ &+ \frac{{\phi_{AB}}^2}{r^2}\psi + 2eB\frac{\phi_{AB}}{2\alpha}\psi + \frac{{a_\varphi}^2}{r^2}\psi + \frac{2\phi_{AB}\,a_\varphi}{r^2}\psi + 2eB\frac{a_\varphi}{2\alpha}\psi + \frac{e^2B^2r^2}{4\alpha^2}\psi.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then, to obtain the solution of the Eq.(11), we make the following choice for the function ψ:

$$\psi(r,\varphi) = e^{im\varphi}\begin{pmatrix} R_+(r) \\ R_-(r) \end{pmatrix} = e^{im\varphi}R_s(r), \tag{12}$$

where $m = l + \frac{1}{2}$, is semi-integer with $l = 0, \pm1, \pm2, ...$ and $R_s = (R_+(r), R_-(r))$. In this way we obtain the following set of equations

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{{\delta_s}^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} - \frac{{\omega_\alpha}^2 M^2}{4} r^2 + \epsilon_s\right] R_s(r) = 0, \tag{13}$$

with parameters δ_s, ϑ_s, ϵ_s and ω_α defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_s &= \vartheta_s + \alpha s\sqrt{2Ma_1}, \\
\vartheta_s &= (l + \alpha\phi_{AB}) + \frac{(1-\alpha s)}{2} + \alpha a_\varphi, \\
\epsilon_s &= E^2 - M^2 - M\frac{(\delta_s + \alpha s)}{\alpha}\left(\omega_0 s + \frac{\omega_c}{\alpha}\right), \\
\omega_\alpha &= \sqrt{{\omega_0}^2 + \frac{2\omega_0\omega_c s}{\alpha} + \frac{{\omega_c}^2}{\alpha^2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{8a_2}{M}}$ being the characteristic frequency and $\omega_c = \frac{eB}{M}$ is the cyclotron frequency. To solve the equation (13) we make the change of the variable $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{{\omega_\alpha}^2 M^2}{4}} r^2$ so that afterwards our equation takes the form:

$$\left[\rho\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{{\delta_s}^2}{4\alpha^2\rho} - \frac{\rho}{4} + \frac{\epsilon_s}{2M\omega_\alpha}\right] R_s(\rho) = 0. \tag{15}$$

In this way, we do the asymptotic analysis of Eq. (13), for the limits $R_s \to 0$ and $r \to \infty$, it is possible to present radial equation in the following form:

$$R_s(\rho) = e^{\frac{-\rho}{2}} \rho^{\frac{|\delta_s|}{2\alpha}} F_s(\rho) \tag{16}$$

Substituting this into the previous equation we obtain:

$$\rho\frac{d^2F_s(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \left[\frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1 - \rho\right]\frac{dF_s(\rho)}{d\rho} + \left[\frac{\epsilon_s}{2M\omega_\alpha} - \frac{|\delta_s|}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\right] F_s(\rho) = 0, \tag{17}$$

where Eq. (17) is the hypergeometric equation whose solution is the hypergeometric function:

$$F_s(\rho) = \ {}_1F_1(a, b; z) = \ {}_1F_1\left(\frac{|\delta_s|}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon_s}{2M\omega_\alpha}, \frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1, \rho\right). \tag{18}$$

Now to get a finite solution anywhere, we impose the condition where the solution of hypergeometric series becomes a polynomial of degree n, that is: $\frac{|\delta_s|}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon_s}{2M\omega_\alpha} = -n$ from this equation, substituting the parameters (14), we obtain the energy spectrum for the particle confined in two-dimensional quantum ring pierced by Aharonov-Bohm quantum flux in gapped graphene in the presence of the uniform magnetic field:

$${E^2}_{n,l} = \left(2n + \frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1\right) M\omega_\alpha + M\frac{(\delta_s + \alpha s)}{\alpha}\left(\omega_0 s + \frac{\omega_c}{\alpha}\right) + M^2, \tag{19}$$

where $s = +1$ corresponds to sublattice A and $s = -1$ corresponds to sublattice B. Note that in the spectrum, there is the dependence of the quantum numbers n and l, the parameters a_1 and a_2 depend implicitly on frequency ω_0, also, the uniform magnetic field depends on the cyclotron frequency ω_c, and, in addition, on the new frequency related to the topological defect ω_α through the dependence on the parameter α . Moreover, the Eq. (19) has a contribution of the non-Abelian gauge field a_φ responsible for the Aharonov-Bohm effect of the spinors, due to the presence of the disclination.



(a)

Figure 1. Graphic of the behavior of energy in function of quantum number n for several values of α. We have adopted the following values for parameters : $B = 1T$, $M = 1$, $l = 1$, $\phi_{AB} = 1$, $s = 1$ and $a_1 = 9,0122.10^{-6} eV.m^2$ and $a_2 = 2,222.10^{-17} eV.m^{-2}$ are Tan -Inkson parameters [13].

Note that we can see in Fig. 1 that the for positive disclinations the energy eigenvalues have magnitude greater than in the flat case, and that in the case of negative disclinations the energy assumes smaller values for the same quantum number n.

The solutions with positive energy describe the dynamics of electrons in the conduction band while negative energy corresponds to the dynamics of holes in the valence band. In this paper we address only the first case. Also, as it was argued earlier, components of the spinor

in graphene describe the contributions of sub-lattices, with the real spins of the particles are not considered within this approach. For equation (19), the spinors corresponding to positive energy for $s=+1$ and $\eta_-(r)=0$ are written as:

$$\Psi_+ = f_+ \ {}_1F_1\left(-n, \tfrac{|\delta_+|}{\alpha}+1, \tfrac{\omega_\alpha M}{2}r^2\right)\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i}{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}[(\omega_0+\omega_c/\alpha)+\omega_0]r + \frac{\left(\vartheta_+ - |\delta_+| + \alpha\sqrt{2a_1}\right)}{\alpha r} - \frac{\omega_c r}{2\alpha}\right] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{if_+}{E}\left(\frac{n(\omega_0+\omega_c/\alpha)r}{\frac{|\delta_+|}{\alpha}+1}\right) \ {}_1F_1\left(-n+1\ , \tfrac{|\delta_+|}{\alpha}+2\ , \tfrac{\omega_\alpha M}{2}r^2\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

and for $s=-1$ and $\eta_+(r)=0$ as

$$\Psi_- = f_- \ {}_1F_1\left(-n, \frac{|\delta_-|}{\alpha}+1, \frac{\omega_\alpha M}{2}r^2\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{i}{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}[(\omega_0+\omega_c/\alpha)+\omega_0]r - \frac{\left(\vartheta_- + |\delta_-| - \alpha\sqrt{2a_1}\right)}{\alpha r} + \frac{\omega_c r}{2\alpha}\right] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{if_-}{E}\left(\frac{n(\omega_0+\omega_c/\alpha)r}{\frac{|\delta_-|}{\alpha}+1}\right) \ {}_1F_1\left(-n+1\ , \frac{|\delta_-|}{\alpha}+2\ , \frac{\omega_\alpha M}{2}r^2\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Both in (20) and (21), the factor $f_s = N_s e^{-iEt}$ **looks like**

$$f_s = \left(\frac{\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha}+n+1\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha}+1\right)\right]^2}\right)^{1/2} \times e^{-iEt}e^{i(l+1/2)\varphi}e^{-\frac{\omega_\alpha M}{4}r^2}\left(\frac{{\omega_\alpha}^2 M^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{|\delta_s|}{4\alpha}} r^{\frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha}}. \tag{22}$$

Note that at $\alpha=1$, the non-Abelian gauge field α_ϕ goes to zero and the parameters δ_s, ϑ_s, ϵ_s and ω_α tend to the values of the parameters λ_s, ξ_s, ε_s and ω respectively. In other words, we recover the spectrum, persistent current, and spinors of positive energy for the case of flat sheet without defect, i.e., $\alpha=1$ represents the absence of topological defect of the gapped graphene layer. Moreover, if we switch off the magnetic field, i.e., make $B=0$ and by consequence $\omega_c=0$, we recover the case of a two-dimensional ring in a gapped graphene layer, and in the case $M=0$ we obtain the results which were considered in Ref. [21].

III. THE PERSISTENT CURRENT IN THE PRESENCE OF A DISCLINATION

Now we calculate the persistent current for our model of quantum ring in graphene layer with a disclination. The persistent current carried by a given electron state is calculated using the Byers-Yang relation [31]. This relation expresses the persistent current as a derivative of the energy with respect to the magnetic flux

$$I = -\sum_{n,l} \frac{\partial E_{n,l}}{\partial \Phi}. \tag{23}$$

In this way, the persistent current for this system is written as:

$$I = \left(\frac{\mp e}{4\pi}\right) \sum_{n,l} M \left(\frac{\delta_s}{|\delta_s|}\omega_\alpha + \frac{\omega_c}{\alpha} + s\omega_0\right) \times$$
$$\times \left\{ M^2 + M\frac{(\delta_s + \alpha s)}{\alpha}\left(\omega_0 s + \frac{\omega_c}{\alpha}\right) + \left(2n + \frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1\right) M\omega_\alpha \right\}^{-1/2}. \tag{24}$$

Where the persistent current is expressed as a function of the control parameters a_1, a_2 implicitly depending on frequency ω_0, the quantum numbers n and l implicitly depend on the parameter δ_s, moreover the linear magnetic field is related to the cyclotron frequency ω_c. In addition, we also observed the emergence of the correction term α_φ. Note that, the persistent current is a function of the parameter characterizing the curved geometry introduced by a topological defect. The expression for the persistent current given by (24) is a function of the non-Abelian magnetic flux and the Aharonov-Bohm flux on which the parameter δ_s depends. The current is a periodic function of the Aharonov-Bohm flux Φ_{AB}, and when the oscillations vanish for the large values of the Aharonov-Bohm flux, we can observe this behavior in Figure 2.

We can compare our results with those ones obtained for quantum dots in graphene, and the oscillatory behavior turns out to be similar to that one observed in Ref. [10].

IV. THE MAGNETIZATION FOR QUANTUM RING IN PRESENCE OF DISCLINATION

Now, we investigate the magnetization for a quasiparticle in quantum ring in a graphene sheet at zero temperature, in order to obtain an analytic expression for a magnetization M of the form

$$\mathcal{M}(B) = \frac{\partial E_{n,l}}{\partial B}, \tag{25}$$



(a)

Figure 2. Graphic of behavior of persistent current in function of magnetic flux $\phi_{AB} = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$ for several values of α. In this case, we defined the topological current term $I_0 = \frac{1}{2\phi_0}\left(\sqrt{\frac{8a_2}{M}}s + \frac{eB}{\alpha M}\right)$. The values for the other terms are $B = 1T$, $M = 1$, $s = 1$, $-10 \leq l \leq 10$ and $0 \leq n \leq 5$, and $a_1 = 9,0122.10^{-6}eV.m^2$ and $a_2 = 2,222.10^{-17}eV.m^{-2}$.

where we consider a system with a temperature $T = 0$ and a fixed number N of spinless particles. In this way, replacing (19) into the previous equation, we obtain:

$$\mathcal{M}(B) = -\sum_{n,l}\left(\frac{se}{2}\right)\left[\frac{\left(2n + \frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1\right)}{\alpha} + \frac{\delta_s + \alpha s}{\alpha^2}\right] \times \tag{26}$$

$$\left\{M^2 + M\frac{(\delta_s + \alpha s)}{\alpha}\left(\omega_0 s + \frac{\omega_c}{\alpha}\right) + \left(2n + \frac{|\delta_s|}{\alpha} + 1\right)M\omega_\alpha\right\}^{-1/2}, \tag{27}$$

which is a magnetization in this system. We can conclude from Eq (27) that the magnetization depends on the parameter α characterizing the presence of disclination in a graphene layer. This dependence is shown at Fig. 3 where we can observe that the variation of the parameter α changes the magnitude assumed by the magnetization.



(a)

Figure 3. Graphic of behavior of magnetization in function of magnertic field B for several values of α. In this plot, we adopted $M = 1$,$\phi_{AB} = 1$, $s = -1$, $a_1 = 9,0122.10^{-6} eV.m^2$, $a_2 = 2,222.10^{-17} eV.m^{-2}$ and the sum in values $-10 \leq l \leq 10$ and $0 \leq n \leq 5$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this contribution we have studied a quantum ring in a gapped graphene layer with a topological defect submitted to an uniform magnetic field along z-direction. We use a confinement potential proposed in Ref. [12] to confine a quasiparticle in a two-dimensional quantum ring, this potential was introduced in Dirac equation using minimal coupling similar to Dirac oscillator. We have considered an Aharonov-Bohm magnetic flux in the center of quantum ring. The quantum dynamics of the massive quasiparticle in the presence of a disclination is determined by a quantum ring potential. The eigenvalues of energy are obtained, and we found that the energy is a periodic function of the Aharonov-Bohm flux. We have demonstrate the influence of the disclination in energy levels, for a positive disclination the energy eigenvalues increase with growing of parameter of disclination α, for case of a negative disclination $\alpha > 1$ eigenvalues of energy decrease in comparison with the case of a gapped graphene layer without a defect. The persistent current, for $T = 0$, was obtained, and its expression turns out to depend on the parameter α characterizing the presence of

the disclination. The persistent current is a periodic function of the non-Abelian flux and the Aharonov-Bohm function. We have obtained the magnetization, for $T = 0$, and found that it is a periodic function of fluxes. We conclude that the role of geometry introduced by topological defects on the electronic properties of graphene is central for the realization of the future investigation of quantum computation in these systems [32–34].

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Knut Bakke for interesting discussion. We thank CNPq, CAPES and CNPq/Universal, for financial support.

---

[1] L. A. Ponomarenko, F. Schedin, M. I. Katsnelson, R. Yang, E. W. Hill, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Science **320**, 356 (2008).

[2] S. Schnez, F. Molitor, C. Stampfer, J. Güttinger, I. Shorubalko, T. Ihn, and K. Ensslin, arXiv: 0807.2710.

[3] P. G. Silvestrov and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 016802 (2007).

[4] S. Schnez, K. Ensslin, M. Sigrist, and T. Ihn, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195427 (2008).

[5] M D Petrović, F. M. Peeters, A. Chaves. and G. A. Farias, J. Phys.: Cond. Mat. **25** 495301 (2013).

[6] M. Grujić, M. Tadić and F. M. Peeters, Phys Rev. B **87**, 085434 (2013).

[7] D. R. da Costa, A. Chaves, M. Zarenia, J. M. Pereira Jr., G. A. Farias, and F. M. Peeters, Phys Rev. B **89**, 075418 (2014).

[8] S. Y. Zhou, G.-H. Gweon, A. V. Fedorov, P. N. First, W. A. de Herr, D.-H. Lee, F. Guinea, A. H. Castro Neto and A. Lanzara, Nature Materials **6**, 770 (2007).

[9] D. Akay and B. S. Kandemir, Int Journal of Chemical, Molecular, Nuclear, Materials and Metallurgical Engineering **10**, 54 (2016).

[10] M. J. Bueno, C. Furtado and A. M. de M. Carvalho, Eur. Phys. J. B **85**, 53 (2012).

[11] D. Subramaniam, F. Libisch, Y. Li *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 046801 (2012).

[12] K. Bakke, C. Furtado, Phys. Lett. A **376**, 1269 (2012).

[13] W.-C Tan, J. C. Inkson, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 5626 (1999).

[14] M. Moshinsky and A. Szczepaniak, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, 1817 (1989).

[15] C. Quimbay, P. Strange, arXiv:1311.2021.

[16] A. Belouad, A. Jellal and Y. Zahidi, Phys. Lett. A **380**, 773 (2016).

[17] A. Jellal, A. D. Alhaidari and H. Bahlouli, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 012109 (2009).

[18] H. Bahlouli, A. Jellal and Y. Zahidi, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **11**, 1450036 (2014).

[19] M. J. Bueno, J. Lemos de Mello, C. Furtado and A. M. de M. Carvalho, Eur. Phys. J. P **129**, 201 (2014).

[20] A. Boumali and H. Hassanabadi, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **128**, 124 (2013).

[21] J. Amaro Neto, M. J. Bueno and C. Furtado, Annals of Physics (2016).

[22] C. Furtado *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **195**, 90 (1994).

[23] P. E. Lammert, V. H. Crespi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5190 (2000).

[24] A. Cortijo and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Nucl. Phys. B **763**, 293 (2007).

[25] A. Cortijo and M. A. H. Vozmediano, EPL **77**, 47002 (2007).

[26] B. Chakraborty, K. S. Gupta and S. Sen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 115412 (2011).

[27] C. Furtado, F. Moraes and A. M. de M. Carvalho, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 5368 (2008).

[28] J. K. Pachos, Contemporary Physics **50**, 375 (2009).

[29] J. F. O. de Souza, C. A. de Lima Ribeiro and C. Furtado, Phys. Lett. A **378**, 2317 (2014).

[30] V. M. Villalba, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 586 (1994).

[31] N. Byers, C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 46 (1961).

[32] K. Bakke, C. Furtado and S. Sergeenkov, Europhys. Lett. **87**, 30002 (2009).

[33] K. Bakke and C. Furtado, Quantum Inf. Comput. **11**, 4444 (2011).

[34] K. Bakke and C. Furtado, Quantum Inf. Process (2012) DOI: 10.1007/s 11128-012-0358-4.